IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 17973 of 2005

-

-

Date: 23.08.2005

-

-

-

Between:

B. Bayyappa Reddy, S/o. Narayana Reddy,

S.D.G.S. College, Hindupur, Ananthapur District.

PETITIONER

Vs.

The State of Andhra Pradesh rep. By its

Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department,

Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad and another.

RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not considering his representation dated 10.08.2003 to regularize his services as a Lecturer in Telugu against the Grant-in-Aid vacancy in S.D.G.S College, Hindupur, Ananthapur District, as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently sought for a direction to the respondents to consider his representation.

2. It is stated that the petitioner was appointed as a Lecturer in Telugu in S.D.G.S College, Hindupur, Ananthapur District against an aided vacancy by the duly constituted Selection Committee on 23.11.1988. It is also stated that the petitioner made his application in pursuance of a notification issued by the Management of the College and thereafter the Selection Committee selected the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner made his representation on 10.08.2003 as per the directions of this Court in Writ Appeal No.738 of 1999 dated 22.07.2003. But, however, the respondents did not consider the case of the petitioner in terms of G.O.Ms.No.328, Education dated 15.10.1997. Therefore, sought for a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner.

4. Though the learned Government Pleader for Higher Education was granted time twice to get instructions, but so far, no instructions have been obtained. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of by this order.

5. Heard both sides and considered the material available on record.

6. In view of the fact that the representation made by the petitioner is still pending, it would be appropriate for this Court to dispose of the writ petition directing the

respondents to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 10.08.2003 expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

S. Ananda Reddy, J

Date: 23.08.2005

va